Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 6/27/2013 12:40:15 PM Filing ID: 87255 Accepted 6/27/2013

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

Competitive Product Prices Priority Mail Priority Mail Contract 60 Docket No. MC2013-54

Competitive Product Prices
Priority Mail Contract 60 (MC2013-54)
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2013-70

## CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 1

(Issued June 27, 2013)

To clarify the Postal Service's request to add Priority Mail Contract 60 to the competitive product list,<sup>1</sup> the Postal Service is requested to provide written responses to the following questions. The responses should be provided no later than July 1, 2013.

1. Paragraph I.E. of the Agreement states that the prices for contract packages are determined by "weight-zone pricing." *Id.* at 2. The redacted workpapers filed with the Request indicate that Local and Zone 1 packages are identically priced to Zone 2 packages.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 60 to Competitive Products List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, June 25, 2013 (Request). The Postal Service simultaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product (Agreement). *Id.* Attachment B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See the workbook entitled "PM60\_Analysis\_public(2)" at Tabs "PartnerProfileParcels", "RateA", "RateB", and "Rate C".

Docket No. MC2013-54 CP2013-70

-2-

- a. Please confirm that the prices for Local and Zone 1 packages under the Agreement are intended to be identical to the rates listed in the Zone 2 column for both pricing tables located in paragraph I.F. of the Agreement. Request, Attachment B at 2.
- If not confirmed, please provide the prices applicable to Local and Zone 1 packages mailed under the Agreement.
- 2. In Order No. 1712, the Commission found that the two potential 90-day extension periods proposed by a similar contract were reasonable, but stated that if the parties utilized the 90-day extension period(s), the Postal Service should file a notice with the Commission at least 1 week prior to the 3-year expiration date or extended expiration date.<sup>3</sup> Please confirm Section III of the Agreement requires the Postal Service to file notice of any extension with the Commission at least 1 week prior to the 3-year expiration date or extended expiration date. Request, Attachment B at 3. If not confirmed, please explain.

By the Chairman.

Ruth Y. Goldway

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Docket Nos. MC2013-47 and CP2013-61, Order Adding Priority Mail Contract 58 to the Competitive Product List, May 9, 2013 at 5-6 (Order No. 1712).